REMARKS

Claim Objections

Reconsideration is requested of the Examiner's objection of Claim 39 on informalities. As suggested by the Examiner, "conduit, each" has been amended to "conduits, each." Therefore, this objection is now moot.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §112

Reconsideration is requested of the Examiner's rejection of Claims 2-16, 20-35 and 37-41 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In particular, the Examiner indicated that the independent claims are generally narrative and indefinite and lack the transitional phrase "comprising." Further, the Examiner indicated that Claims 38 and 39 claimed the invention in terms of the non-claimed invention of a remote supporting structure. Independent claims 38 and 39 are amended to include "comprising" and not to affirmative claim the remote supporting structure. In view of the amendment to Claims 38 and 39, Applicant believes this rejection is now moot.

III. Conclusion

By virtue of the Applicant's amendment to the claims and remarks thereto, all outstanding grounds of rejection and objection have been addressed and dealt with and, based thereon, it is believed that the application is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Gloria Tsui-Yip, Reg. No. 42,188

Attorney for Applicant

STOLL, MISKIN & BADIE 350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4710

New York, NY 10118

(212) 268-0900